Case 5:11-cr-00011-DLJ Document 4 Filed 01/03/11 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN JOSE DIVISION	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number10mj71089HRL
v.	Tomprooring
CORNELIO PENA-POMPA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 3, 2011.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Cynthis	a Lie AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.
Attorney Suzanne DeBerry.	The represented by Assistant O.S.
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense desc	cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while	e on release pending trial for a federal state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the	e date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no c	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	·
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indic	tment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
nas committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of im	aprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 95	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of	a firearm during the commission of a felom.
annexpanse of the defendant as a second to th	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the accommunity.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of th	the community. $\frac{14N}{14N} = \frac{3}{3} \frac{2011}{120}$
No presumption applies. PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING RICHARD W. WIEKING RICHARD W. DISTRICT COURT RICHARD W. WIEKING
/ The defendant has not come forward with suff	RICHARD W. WILL COUNT RICHARD W. WILL COUNT RICHARD W. WILL COUNT IN U.S. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
therefore will be ordered detained.	FICHARD W. WIEKING THE PROPERTY OF CALIFORNIA TO THE PROPERTY OF CALIFORNIA OF THE PROPERTY OF CALIFORNIA NORTHER TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence	Notice to rebut the applicable assumption [1]
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United	States.
PART HI. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR	DIAICS.
The United States has proved to a preponderar	nce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require	ed AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and con	wincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the con	nmunity
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	
	et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	5 -(b) and or morniamon submitted at
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wa	aived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	· ·
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor	ney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
rrections facility separate to the extent practicable from perso	ons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal
ne defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for pri	ivate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
nited States or on the request of an attorney for the Governme	ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
fendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an app	pearance in connection with a court proceeding.
1/3/11	(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
ted: $\bigcup S \setminus \bigcup$	
, ,	DWARD R. ILOYD
Un	nited States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____